(g) The growth in the village industries sector has been steady. While there was a decline in the production and sales of khadi and khadi products during 1998-99 to 2001-02, these have improved in the last three years (2002-03 to 2004-05). The problems faced by the KVI sector include those on account of obsolete technology, inconsistent quality of products, products not being in time with the market demand, inadequate and delayed credit, management deficiencies, etc.

Implementation of PMRY

†2102. PROF. ALKA BALRAM KSHATRIYA: Will the Minister of AGRO AND RURAL INDUSTRIES be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have carried out any detailed study of implementation of Pradhan Mantri Rozgar Yojana (PMRY), especially in backward areas of the country;

(b) if so, the number of people benefited by the said scheme, State-wise; and

(c) the efforts made by Government to make the said scheme wore result oriented?

THE MINISTER OF AGRO AND RURAL INDUSTRIES (SHRI MAHAVIR PRASAD): (a) The Government has recently evaluated the implementetion of the PMRY in selected areas, including backward areas.

(b)The State/UT-wise details of beneficiaries under PMRY during 2004-05 are given is enclosed as Statement (See below).

(c) The efforts of the Government to make the Scheme more result oriented include restructuring the training curricula under the PMRY with emphasis on setting up viable units, reiteration of the instructions of the Reserve Bank of India to implementing banks not to under-finance projects, disposal of applications by the implementing banks within stipulated time limits, recovery of loans through the joint efforts of banks and State Governments, etc.

---

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

Statement

State/UT-wise details of beneficiaries (self-employment units sanctioned bans) under PMRY for 2004-05 (as reported by the Reserve Bank of India)

St. No	States/UTs	Number of beneficiaries
1	2	3
1	Haryana	9176
2	Himachal Pradesh	2977
3	Jammu & Kashmir	747
4	Punjab	9199
5	Rajasthan	16424
6	Chandigarh	288
7	Delhi	937
8	Assam	10300
9	Manipur	440
10	Meghalaya	S97
11	Nagaland	897
12	Tripura	2126
13	Arunachal Pradesh	443
14	Mizoram	144
15	Sikkim	37
16	Bihar	11437
17	Jharkhand	5491
18	Orissa	15554
19	West Bengal	4595
20	Andaman & Nicobar	150
21	Madhya Pradesh	27604
22	Chhattisgarh	4333

1	2	3
23	Uttar Pradesh	45985
24	Uttaranchal	7208
25	Gujarat	6975
26	Maharashtra	26720
27	Daman & Diu	4
28	Goa	78
29	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	23
30	Andhra Pradesh	24758
31	Karnataka	16836
32	Kerala	22517
33	Tamil Nadu	20566
34	Lakshadweep	12
35	Pondicherry	363
	TOTAL	295941

Losses of MTNL and BSNL

2103. SHRI N.R. GOVINDARAJAR: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONSAND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether the BSNL and MTNL have suffered heavy losses recently;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether MTNL has to recover more than Rs. 700 crores from its consumers; and

(d) if so, the details thereof and the steps taken by MTNL to recover the said amount?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (DR SHAKEELAHMAD): (a) No, Sir.